

**ITEM EXHIBITION OUTCOME - CHANGES TO PLANNING
CONTROLS FOR LAND ON WATERVIEW STREET,
FIVE DOCK**

At 6.17pm, Councillors Fasanella and Megna declared a pecuniary interest in this matter and left the Meeting.

The following people addressed Council:

Ms R Scifleet, Resident
Ms N Maniaci, Resident
Ms M Cassisi, Resident
Mr B Gibson, Resident
Mr F Liberatore, Resident
Ms D Zappacosta, Resident
Mr T Leo, Resident
Mr J Matthews, Pacific Planning, representing residents
Mr S Durkin, Resident

RESOLVED

(Crs Cestar/Ahmed)

1. THAT a Planning Proposal and associated Development Control Plan be prepared to implement the recommendations of the Exhibition Outcomes Report, prepared by Studio GL, dated 26 November 2016.
2. THAT the Planning Proposal include the removal of heritage item no. I486, being the dwelling the house at 39 Waterview Street, Five Dock from Schedule 5 of the Canada Bay Local Environmental Plan 2013.
3. THAT the Planning Proposal be submitted to the Department of Planning and Environment for a Gateway Determination.
4. THAT should the Planning Proposal pass through Gateway, that it be placed on public exhibition, together with the draft Development Control Plan and draft Contributions Plan.
5. THAT authority be granted to the General Manager to make any minor changes to the Planning Proposal and draft Development Control Plan prior to finalisation of the Local Environmental Plan.
6. THAT if the owners of property in the area believe there is a better planning outcome to be achieved than the recommendation, they lodge a planning proposal in the normal way.

(FOR: Crs Ahmed, Cestar and McCaffrey)
(AGAINST: Crs Kenzler, Parnaby and Tyrrell)

The Motion was passed on the casting vote of the Mayor.

During the discussion on this item, a foreshadowed motion was moved by Councillor Kenzler and is recorded below:

1. THAT, after careful consideration of the report and the earlier reports, including GL Studio 'Five Dock Town Centre – Proposed Development Controls', HillPDA Consulting 'Five Dock Town Centre – Additional Sites Feasibility Analysis', the existing zoning and controls applicable to the sites detailed in the report remain unchanged for the following reasons:
 - a) The proposed development controls are not economically feasible in the market
 - b) Increasing densities to make development viable would create unacceptable impacts on surrounding residents
 - c) The changes would impact an existing local heritage item.
 - d) The rezoning would encourage development outside the core of the town centre.
2. THAT affected residents and landowners be notified of this resolution.